

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,502	12/31/2003	Soo-Hyung Lee	51876P585	1208
8791 7590 02/05/2008 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			. EXAMINER	
			NOORISTANY, SULAIMAN	
SUNNYVALE	YVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2146	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		:	02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,502	LEE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sulaiman Nooristany	2146
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Example.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/31/2003 & 11/25/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Detailed Action

This Office Action is response to the application (10749502) filed on 31 December 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a), which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porras

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0212903 in view of Gupta U.S. Patent

No. US 7,234,168.

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Porras teaches wherein a method for detecting abnormal traffic at the network level using a statistical analysis, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) gathering local traffic data from each network device and integrating a plurality of the local traffic data to generate traffic data in the network level_(Fig. 1, unit 12a –12c indicating the integrated of different domains in a network);
- b) extracting a characteristic traffic data based on the traffic data in the network level (characteristic data forms from the header of the packet [0032]);
 - c) comparing the characteristic traffic data with a characteristic traffic data profile

Application/Control Number:

10/749,502

Art Unit: 2146

resulting from statistical computations (Fig. 5, unit 78 (compare one of the short-term profiles to a corresponding long-term statistical profile), and determining whether there is abnormal traffic in the network (Fig. 4, unit 70 (Determine if statistical profile is abnormal); and

d) updating the characteristic traffic data profile using the characteristic traffic data if there is no abnormal traffic in the network, analyzing volume amount_of the abnormal traffic and monitoring the abnormal traffic if there is abnormal traffic in the network (the monitor can respond by reporting (updating) the activity (i.e. seriousness of the abnormal traffic like privilege network errors and abnormal levels of the network level) to another monitor or by executing a countermeasure response [0071]).

With respect to claim 1 and 4, Porras teaches the invention set forth above except for the claimed "a single traffic sensing module".

Gupta teaches that is well known to have traffic sensing module (Fig. 2, unit 52

- Sensor Management Module).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Porras's invention by utilizing a network security sensors and distributed network security sensor architectures used to implement intrusion detection and protection. In addition, a sensor management system is associated with a sensor or set of sensors. The sensor management system provides supervisory control of a sensor. The sensor management system may be used to implement a shared-resource virtual intrusion detection system, as discussed below. A single sensor

10/749,502 Art Unit: 2146

management system may be used to control multiple sets of primary sensors and redundant sensors. The combination of the sensor, redundant sensor, and sensor management system is referred to as a local sensor security module. Furthermore, as it's disclosed the local sensor security modules may be distributed throughout a network. In this example, local sensor security modules 27_1 through 27_N are positioned between an enterprise network and Internet service providers 28_1 through 28_N. In addition, a local sensor security module 27_0 is positioned between the enterprise network and a protected server, as taught by Gupta.

Regarding claim 2, Porras and Gupta together taught the method in claim 1, as described above. Porras further teaches wherein the characteristic traffic data includes:

information on traffic assigned to an application port which is selected according to an application service (TCP port identifier [0036]);

information on traffic of which packet size is identical (network measures number of packets and number of kilobytes [0037]); and

information on traffic of which the number of source-destination pairs, which represents the number of source addresses of the traffic having the same target address (categorical measures including the network source and destination address [0036], packet source addresses and destination addresses match is given internal host [0033]).

Regarding claim 3, Porras and Gupta together taught the method in claim 1, as described above. Porras further teaches wherein e) transmitting the analysis result of

10/749,502 Art Unit: 2146

the seriousness of the abnormal traffic to an abnormal traffic processing system (the overall volume of discarded packets as well as a measure analyzing the disposition of the discarded packets (abnormal packet) can provide insight into unintentionally malformed packets resulting from poor line quality or internal errors in neighboring hosts [0076]).

Art Unit: 2146

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion :

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sulaiman Nooristany whose telephone number is (571) 270-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Pwu. can be reached on (571) 272-6798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the

10/749,502 Art Unit: 2146

status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Sulaiman Nooristany 01/31/2008

// JÆFFIREY PWU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEF